

## Review of a paper

Code:

Titled:

Assessment of article (please, mark the appropriate field)				Comments
Scientific value and originality	Very good	Acceptable	Poor	
Method/procedure of research	Very good	Acceptable	Poor	
Clarity of style, structure and editorial aspects	Very good	Acceptable	Poorly	
Relevance, topicality and quality of references	Very good	Acceptable	Poorly	
Title of the article	Well selected	Unsuitable		
Conclusion	Accept	Requires major/minor revision	Unsuitable to publish (justification necessary)	
Additional comments to author:				

Name and surname of the reviewer:

The Editorial Board assures that the reviewer's identity will remain confidential.

Signature:

Date: